UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/525,148	09/27/2005	Nicolaus Ladislaus Stark	17344/144001 (CDT 1890)	5568	
	7590 12/08/200 LLP / CDTECH	8	EXAMINER		
TWO HOUSTO	ON CENTER	DANG, THUAN D			
HOUSTON, TX	TREET, SUITE 3500 K 77010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DOCKETING@OSHALIANG.COM bergman@oshaliang.com griffith@oshaliang.com

		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/525,1	48	STARK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit					
		THUAN [D. DANG	1797					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 16 February 20	ากล						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is ⊩							
3)□		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ا ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•						
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the a	annlication							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.						
	ion Papers		- 4						
	-	. 							
•	The specification is objected to by the		seented on b	his stad to by the Even	inor				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on 16 February			•	iner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje		_		NED 4 404(-I)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
· .	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Infori			4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luebke et al (5,449,501).

Luebke discloses a process a **total** hydrogenation of olefinic hydrocarbon(**s**) in the distillation column reactor (the abstract; the drawing; col. 2, lines 39).

In the drawing, product streams are withdrawn from the distillation reaction zone.

The terms "total hydrogenation" and "olefinic hydrocarbons" makes the Luebke process indistinguishable from the claimed bulk hydrogenation of plurality of olefins.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,148 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luebke et al (5,449,501).

Luebke discloses a process as discussed above.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, it is expected that the Luebke process can be used to hydrogenate any feedstock having any concentration of olefins as taught by Luebke.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, if there is any amount of unhydrogenated hydrocarbons, these unreacted components should be recovered for recycle.

The conversion as claims 6 depends on the selected chemical reaction parameters such as temperatures and pressures which must be selected to optimize the process as called for in claims 7, 8, 12, and 13.

Regarding claims 9-1 l, any feedstock is expected to yield similar results provided that the feedstock contains olefinic hydrocarbons.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THUAN D. DANG whose telephone number is (571)272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,148

Page 5

Art Unit: 1797